

Bail Matters 245/2026
STATE OF DELHI Vs. MANISH SHARMA
FIR NO. 156/2025
PS (Inder Puri)

14.03.2026

Present: Sh. Vikas, Ld. Addl. PP for State.
Sh. Kunal Aggarwal, Sh. Malay Swapnil and Ms.
Bhumika Aggarwal, Ld. Counsels for non-applicant
/accused.
Applicant /IO Manish Kaushik in person.

No written reply is being filed on behalf of non-applicant
/ accused.

Counsel for accused submits that he will advance oral
arguments only on the present application.

Part arguments heard on the present application.

During the course of arguments, counsel for non-
applicant / accused has submitted that accused had received one notice
dated 16.11.2025 from IO personally for joining further investigation.
Remaining notices were received by brother of accused from IO
intimating him about the date for joining investigation. He further
admitted that the accused was informed by his brother to join
investigation as per the notices received.

No submissions have today been made as to whether the
accused had ever intimated IO about his difficulty and the reasons
why he could not join investigation on the dates fixed by IO.

However, it is submitted today as the accused is still enjoying
protection granted vide anticipatory bail order dated 25.07.2025, he is
willing to join further investigation in the present case. In view of the

submission made, accused is directed to join investigation today by 05.00 PM before concerned IO / SHO, failing which adverse orders are liable to be passed against the accused.

Applicant / IO is directed to file further report in this regard on next date of hearing.

Put up for further proceedings on **16.03.2026**.

Order *dasti*.

(Deepti Devesh)
RosterJudge/ASJ/Spl.FTC/PHC/14.03.2026