

CS 64/2022

SAROJ VS. NIKHIL TANWAR & ORS.

28.01.2025

Present: Plaintiff in person alongwith Sh. Praveen Kumar Advocate.
Sh. Sudhanshu Tiwari, Advocate for Defendant no. 1.
Defendant no. 1 alongwith Sh. Arun Kumar Advocate.

Heard. Perused.

It is submitted by Sh. Sudhanshu Tiwari Advocate that he wants to withdraw his vakalatnama on behalf of defendant no. 1. He further submits that intimation in that regard is already conveyed to defendant no. 1 and the case file is also returned to said defendant.

Separate statement of Sh. Sudhanshu Tiwari Advocate, in that regard is recorded. In view of aforesaid submissions and statement made by Sh. Sudhanshu Tiwari Advocate, he stands discharged as Advocate for defendant no. 1.

Fresh vakalatnama is filed by Sh. Arun Kumar, Advocate for defendants. Same is taken on record.

Reciept of cost of Rs. 1500/- deposited with NDDL SA, imposed upon the defendant vide order dated 16.12.2024, is placed on record by Ld. Counsel of defendant.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 sought time to bring evidence. Request is allowed as last opportunity to defendant no. 1 to lead DE with direction to furnish affidavit in evidence of their witness(s) at least one week prior to the next date of hearing, after supplying advance copies thereof to the opposite side.

Contd:-

Put up on 07.03.2025 for DE as last opportunity.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
New Delhi District
Patiala House Courts/ND/28.01.2025