

CS 113/2014 56315/16
MS ICICI BANK Vs. MS CITI BANK AND ORS

04.04.2026

Present: Mr. Joydip Bhattacharya, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff
through VC.

Mr. Parth Sindhvani, Ld. Counsel for defendant
No.1 through VC.

Defendant No.2 is already ex-parte vide order dated
02.11.2012.

Ms. Mannat Singh, Ld. counsel for defendant No.3
through VC.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for defendant No.1
that one application under Order 1 Rule 10 of CPC for
impleading Axis Bank in place of Citi Bank as defendant is
pending for disposal. It is further submitted that Citi Bank has
now been taken over by Axis Bank, and hence the present
application is moved. It is submitted by plaintiff that he has no
objection if defendant No.1 Citi Bank is substituted with Axis
Bank. Necessary documents in support of application are already
placed on record by applicant.

In view of above, present application is allowed as
Axis Bank stands arrayed as defendant No.1 in place of
defendant Citi Bank.

Put up for final arguments on **11.09.2026**.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
04.04.2026