

16 CS (COMM.) 43/24

DEUTSCHE BANK AG v. MR. MAHESH CHAND YADAV,
PROPRIETOR NEW ROADWAYS CORPORATION OF INDIA

05.05.2026 (At 12:08 p.m.)

Present: Sh. Jishnu Bhardwaj, ld. counsel for plaintiff.

No evidence has been led today by the plaintiff bank. Ld. counsel has filed application under Order XVI Rule 2 CPC today only, so as to seek summoning of PW1/Mr. Natansh Kumar Pal.

This date was given for the purpose of leading evidence and the last order categorically mentioned that all the steps were to be taken in advance to lead and complete evidence on this day. However, plaintiff bank's representatives have gone on defiance to ignore such direction altogether and in the name of completing a formality this application has been filed today. That too without furnishing complete address and particulars of the witness at which the summons are to be sent. Thus, it is crystal clear that representatives of plaintiff bank are literally wasting the time of the court, despite imposition of cost on last date of hearing. The other option so as to close plaintiff's evidence would be too harsh, therefore, I am giving one more last and final opportunity to the plaintiff bank to take all the steps well in advance in order to lead and complete evidence on next date, subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited in NDBA Members Welfare Fund, Account No. 18580110013847, IFSC Code UCBA0001858 (UCO Bank, Patiala House Courts Branch, New Delhi). Put up on 07.08.2026 for plaintiff's evidence.

(Pulastya Pramachala)
District Judge (Commercial Court-01),
Patiala House Courts Complex,
New Delhi/05.05.2026