

CS No.6/2022

Suresh Chand Vs. Ramesh Chand Verma & Ors.

03.01.2025

Present : None for the parties except son of Defendant No.1 and Defendant No.3 Sh. Sachin Kumar, who had appeared on the first call also and his lawyer Sh. Sanjay Sehgal had appeared on the first call through VC and for that matter, counsel for the plaintiff, Sh. Rajeev Kumar had also joined through VC.

It was instructed to the plaintiff, on his request, that if he himself is not in a position to appear, but was called upon to file the affidavit of admission, denial and proposed issues, if any, which he could have ensured through his colleague as earlier also he himself had not appeared at least on three occasions and his colleague Sh. Sandeep Dhama had appeared. For this purpose, the matter was kept pending for recall, however, none has appeared nor complied with the admission, denial aspect.

In these circumstances, it appears that the concern raised by counsel for the defendants that plaintiff is simply delaying the matter. As such the plaintiff is given one more opportunity to do the needful before the next date of hearing. As such, the matter stands adjourned to **21.02.2025** for admission, denial of documents, framing of issues. This adjournment is subject to cost of Rs.3,000/-to be paid by the plaintiff in the following break up :

Rs.1500/- to Son of D-1 and D-3 &

Rs.1500/- to be deposited with Legal Aid, NDDLISA.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)

Principal District & Sessions Judge

New Delhi District

Patiala House Courts/ND/03.01.2025