

Inder Jit Kaur W/o Late Partap Singh Giani Vs. Nand Singh
17.03.2026

Present: Ms. Kawaljit Kochar, Ld. Senior Advocate along
with Mr. Deepanshu, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff no. 1
(a) and 3 along with plaintiff no. 3 in person.
Ms. Advita Goyal, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff no. 2
(b).
Mr. Suman Dass, Ld. Counsel for legal heirs of
plaintiff no. 5 and legal heirs of defendants no. 6 and
7.
Mr. Pushkar Khanna, Ld. Proxy Counsel for
defendant no. 5.

It is submitted by plaintiff no. 1 (a) and 3 that one
application for leading additional evidence was moved on behalf
of plaintiff no. 1 (a) and 3 on the last date of hearing and the
defendant was supposed to give reply to the application.

Passover is sought on behalf of defendant no. 5 on
the ground that main counsel is presently busy in another matter
before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Same is highly opposed by Ld. Senior Advocate for
plaintiff no. 1 (a) and 3 on the ground that she has to appear
before Ld. CAT at 12:00 noon in another matter.

Considering the submissions, put up for reply and
arguments on pending applications on 19.05.2026.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
17.03.2026

Contd...2/-

At this stage, plaintiff no. 4 and Mr. Pradeep Purohit, Ld. Counsel for defendants no. 1 to 4 have appeared and they have been informed that the case has already been adjourned to 19.05.2026.

Plaintiff no. 4 and Ld. Counsel for defendants no. 1 to 4 have filed the replies to the applications under Order XVIII Rule 4 (1B) of CPC and under Section 75 of CPC filed by plaintiff no. 3. Let advance copy of replies to the applications be supplied to the opposite party.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
17.03.2026