

CS No. 57837/16
Inderjit Kaur Vs. Anand Singh

04.01.2019

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff no.3 along with plaintiff no.3 in person.
Shri Mohit Chaudhary, Ms. Srishti Gupta and Shri Balwinder Singh Suri, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff no.2B.
Plaintiff no.4 in person.
None for plaintiff no.5.
Shri Pradeep Purohit, Ld. Counsel for defendant nos. 1 to 4.

Shri Arvinder Singh, SPA of defendant no.6 as well as plaintiff no.5. As the interest of plaintiffs and defendants are adverse to each other, in the circumstances, he is directed to apprise the Court that whether he wants to appear as SPA for plaintiffs or defendants.

It is pointed out by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that on the last date of hearing, the present Court permitted one plaintiff no.2(b) to cross examine plaintiff no.3. However, it was not brought to the knowledge of this Court on the last date of hearing that there is a joint plaint by plaintiff nos.2 and 3. Hence, plaintiff no.2 cannot be permitted to cross-examine plaintiff no.3. Ld. Counsel seeks some time to file review application and submitted that this issue regarding cross examination of plaintiff by plaintiff may be deferred till next date of hearing and they may be permitted to file review. Request is allowed.

Ld. Counsels are at liberty to file review application before the next date of hearing.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff no.3 that she wishes to summon one formal witness from DDA for the next date of hearing. Same is allowed. She may file application in between the dates of hearing for summoning the relevant witness from DDA for the next date of hearing.

All the plaintiffs have been cross examined except plaintiff no.2(b). Further plaintiff no.5 Harbhajan Singh has not tendered his affidavit by way of evidence. Plaintiff no.5 is directed to apprise the Court before the next date of hearing whether he wants to lead any evidence or not.

Put up for further proceedings on **22.01.2019**.

(TWINKLE WADHWA)
ADJ-03/PHC/NEW DELHI
04.01.2019

