

EX/174/2023

CNR NO.: DLET01-013917-2023

Lalit Kumar Vs. Nitin Jain

9.5.2025

Present: Sh. Sudhir Gupta, Advocate for DH.
Sh. Anuj Soni, Advocate for JD through VC.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for DH that vide order dated 14.11.2024, the operation of the decree was stayed subject to JD depositing 35% of the decretal amount. In compliance of this direction, JD deposited Rs.1,92,500/- through a demand draft dated 19.11.2024.

This order was challenged by DH in High Court of Delhi. Vide order dated 11.2.2025 in CM(M) 4225/2024 titled as Lalit Kumar Vs. Nitin Jain, the operation of order dated 14.11.2024 passed by this court was stayed and this court was directed to proceed further with the execution petition.

Ld. Counsel for JD submits that the aforesaid bank draft has expired and that it may be returned to him with liberty to file it after its renewal/revalidation.

I have considered the submissions. I find that the bank draft no. 029474 dated 19.11.2024 drawn on Jain Cooperative Bank Ltd., Raghubar Pura-2, Gandhi Nagar, Delhi-110031 has been issued in the name of Lalit Kumar and not in the name of Principal District & Sessions Judge, East District, KKD Courts, Delhi. Let the bank draft be returned to JD, who shall file a fresh bank draft in the name of Principal District & Sessions Judge, East District, KKD Courts, Delhi within one week.

Attachment warrants would be issued after receiving the bank draft on due adjustments against the decretal amount.

Be listed for **20.5.2025**.

(Vinod Kumar)
PD&SJ/East/KKD/Delhi/9.5.2025