

SC 727/2021
STATE Vs. SURAJ YADAV@KALLU
FIR No. 397 /2020
PS (Laxmi nagar)

17.02.2024

Pr. Sh. Gaurav Pandey, Ld. Additional P.P. for the State.
Accused produced from J/C with Ld. Counsel Sh. B.K.
Pandey, Ld. Counsel.
Father of PW Anas Ansari is present.

On the last date of hearing, IO was directed to produce PW Mohd. Anas Ansari. However, today, IO/SI Anand Pratap Singh is absent despite service. He has sent a request that he is busy in Law and Arrangement Duty due to farmers' agitation hence, he is not in a position to appear in the Court today.

In the summons sent to PW Mohd. Anas Ansari, it was specifically mentioned that same be served through IO, despite that, service has been effected through Process Server Ct. Pradeep and there is no mention why the summon has been served on the father of the witness and not on the witness. It appears that service has been negligently effected.

SHO, PS Laxmi Nagar is directed to explain in this regard.

Summon sent to PW Raju received back unserved with the report that he has left the given address.

IO is directed to trace the correct address of PW Raju and thereafter, service be effected on PW Raju.

Matter be put up for consideration of bail application of accused on **02.03.2024**. IO is directed to appear on 02.03.2023.

PWs be summoned for the next date of hearing.

Put up for PE on **15.04.2024**.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
17.02.2024 (pm)