

EX 156/23
GAURAV MEHTA Vs. PANKAJ PAHUJA

27.09.2025

Present: Mr. Arjun Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the decree holder.
None for the JD.

As per the report of the Civil Nazir, warrants of arrest could not be executed since the judgment debtor was not present at the given address.

Ld. Counsel for the decree holder requests that proceedings be initiated to declare the judgment debtor as proclaimed offender.

Record is perused.

Present case is an execution petition and there is no provision for declaring the judgment debtor a proclaimed offender.

On filing of process fee, issue fresh warrants of arrest of the judgment debtor. Concerned SHO is directed to render assistance to Bailiff for execution of warrants.

Endorsement to this effect be made on the warrants.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for decree holder so that he can bring it to the notice of concerned SHO for compliance.

To come up before the Ld. ACJ for appointment of Bailiff on 09.10.2025 and before this Court on 07.11.2025.

Execution petitions are supposed to be disposed off within six months. For this reason, it is directed that if the warrants remained unexecuted and the decree holder is unable to furnish any other mode for execution of the decree, the Court will be constrained to disposed off the execution petition even if the decree remains unexecuted.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 27.09.2025