

In The Court of Sh. Sanatan Prasad,
Additional District Judge-01, (East),
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

S No.795/17

In the matter of :-

Smt. Nidhi Sharma

.....Plaintiff

Versus

Sh. G K Sharma & Anr.

.....Defendants

ORDER

03.05.2018

1. Vide this order I shall dispose of an application U/o 39 rules 1&2 CPC, filed by the plaintiff, thereby seeking to grant interim injunction.
2. I have heard arguments by the ld. counsels and ld. counsel for the defendant No.1 has forcefully, submitted that the suit itself is not maintainable, as defendant no.1 is a bonafide purchaser for value without notice, whereas, on the other hand, ld. counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that the suit property needs to be preserved and I am of the considered opinion that grant of injunction can be justified to avoid multiplicity of litigation between the parties, and therefore, there appears to be a prima facie case on the horizon and even the factors of irreparable loss and balance of convenience also go therewith and as such, defendant no.1, is hereby restrained not to alienate or otherwise, create third party interest in the suit property, during the pendency of the suit. Nothing of my this order shall have any reflection whatsoever on the merits of the case in any manner. Injunction application, is disposed off, accordingly.

Announced in the open
Court on 03.05.2018

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi / 03.05.18