

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, KARUR

PRESENT : Thiru.K.H.Elavazhagan, B.Sc., M.L.,

District and Sessions Judge.

Monday, the 29th day of June 2026

Crl.M.P.No.705/2026

CNR.No:TNKR-01-001691-2026

Sriram

S/o.Ramachandiran

..Petitioner/accused

/Vs/

The State represented by,

The Inspector of police,

Kulithalai P.S.,

Cr.No.223/2026

..Respondent/Complainant.

This is a petition filed by the petitioners u/s.482 of BNSS., seeking anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on for final hearing before me today in the presence of Thiru S. Prasath, advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru. N. Senthilkumar, Public Prosecutor appearing for the state and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and having stood over for consideration till this day, this Court has passed the following.

O R D E R

Heard both sides.

The petitioner/accused is seeking anticipatory bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by him u/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) and 109 of BNS Act 2023 and Sec.4 of TamilNadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women(Amendment) Act 2002 concerned in Cr.No.223/2026 of Kulithalai P.S.,

The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused submits that he has not committed any offences as alleged in the petition and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in the hands of the respondent and prays to release him on anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submits that there are totally ten accused in this case and the petitioner is the 3rd accused. He states that on 19.05.2026, during the Kulithalai Mariyamman Temple Festival, there arose a scuffle between the friends of the defacto complainant viz.Sanjay and Ramkumar and the accused and the said Sanjay and Ramkumar sustained injuries and they were admitted in the hospital. In this circumstances on 20.05.2026, at about 10.15 p.m., when the defacto complainant and his neighbor viz.

Karthick were talking near Bagavathi Amman Temple, the accused came there and scolded the defacto complainant with filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and his friends with hands and beer bottle and caused simple injuries. Further, the petitioner/ 3rd accused herein has assaulted the defacto complainant with knife on his stomach and caused injuries. The injured has been discharged from the hospital.

The petitioner herein has already involved in previous cases in Cr.No.372/2024 for the offence u/s.294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC, Cr.No.176/2026 for the offence u/s.115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS Act, Cr.No.193/2023 for the offence u/s.20(b)(ii)(A) and 8(c) of NDPS Act on the file of Kulithalai P.S., and Cr.No.15/2023 for the offence u/s.506(i) and 11(1), 11(4) and 12 of POCSO Act on the file of AWPS Kulithalai and the same were pending. The co-accused have been released on bail and anticipatory bail by this Court. The investigation in this case is pending. This accused is required for interrogation. Hence, he strongly objected to release him on anticipatory bail.

It is seen that the accused have assaulted the defacto complainant and his friends with hands and beer bottle and caused simple injuries. Further, the petitioner/ 3rd accused herein has assaulted the defacto complainant with knife on his stomach and caused injuries. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. The co-accused have been released on bail and anticipatory bail by this Court.

The petitioner herein has already involved in previous cases in Cr.No.372/2024 for the offence u/s.294(b), 323, 324 and 506(2) of IPC, Cr.No.176/2026 for the offence u/s.115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b) and 351(3) of BNS Act, Cr.No.193/2023 for the offence u/s.20(b)(ii)(A) and 8(c) of NDPS Act on the file of Kulithalai P.S., and Cr.No.15/2023 for the offence u/s.506(i) and 11(1), 11(4) and 12 of POCSO Act on the file of AWPS Kulithalai and the same were pending.

Further, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560] has held that in case of breach of any condition in the bail already granted to him shall be cancelled by moving an application. Case on hand, the petitioner has granted bail in several cases. But he has again committed the offence in this case in Cr.No.223/2026.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, previous cases are pending against the petitioner and the investigation is pending and since the accused is very much needed for investigation at this stage, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court on this the 29th day of June 2026.

DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
KARUR.

To
The Petitioner through counsel.
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kulithalai.
Respondent through Public Prosecutor.