

CS 548/22
NADEEM IQBAL Vs. BILQUIS TABASSUM

20.08.2025

Present : Mr. Shad Anwar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. (Joined through VC)
Mr. Juned Salmani, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff along-with the plaintiff.
Mr. Imran Khan, Ld. Counsel for defendant nos.1 and 2.
Mr. Mohd. Yasin, Ld. Counsel for defendant nos.3 to 5.

Reply not filed by the plaintiff to the application u/s 151 CPC for placing on record copy of replication supplied by plaintiff to defendant nos.3 to 5.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some more time to file reply to the aforesaid application. Let same be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for defendant nos.3 to 5 subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- to be paid by plaintiff to defendant nos.3 to 5.

At this stage, two fresh applications filed on behalf of the plaintiff: one u/O VII Rule 14 CPC for taking on record some additional documents and another u/O XVIII Rule 17 CPC for calling witnesses from HDFC Bank & IDFC First Bank Ltd. along-with documents as per the list of documents. Both the applications are taken on record. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for defendant nos.1 and 2 submits that defendant nos.1 and 2 do not wish to file reply to the aforesaid applications and they have no-objection, if both applications are allowed.

Ld. Counsel for defendant nos.3 to 5 submits that he wishes to file reply to aforesaid application. Let reply be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for arguments on pending applications on
24.12.2025.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/20.08.2025