

CS (Comm.) 251/2026
Poonam Rathore vs. Amit Jain & Anr.

29.07.2026

Present: Sh. K. P. Singh Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff along with Sh. Nikhil Rathore, SPA of plaintiff.

Defendant no. 1 is yet to be served.

None for defendant no. 2.

1. Last process issued to defendant no. 1 received back with the report that premises were found locked.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has made a request to issue fresh process to the defendant no. 1 on the same address.
3. Let fresh summons to be defendant no. 1 on the same address be issued on PF, Courier, Speed Post and e-mail as well for **19.08.2026**. Steps be taken within next three days.
4. Plaintiff shall file the affidavit of service on the defendant no. 1 on the next date of hearing.
5. Since the proceedings are being recorded in the morning session at the first call itself, therefore, no adverse order is being passed against defendant no. 2 for non-appearance.

(Rajesh Kumar Goel)
District Judge (Commercial Court)-02
Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
29.07.2026