

MACP No. 152/17
Raman Kumar vs. Vishal Sadana & Ors.

21.01.2020

Present : Sh. Narendra Gautam, counsel for petitioner.
Proxy counsel for R1 and R2.
Sh. B.K. Satyarthi, Patwari, O/o SDM, Preet Vihar.

PW4 Dr. Wajid Nazir has been examined and discharged.

It is mentioned by counsel for petitioners that one more opportunity be given for further PE. However, no plausible reason has been given as to why steps not taken, though it was final opportunity and therefore, PE is closed. RE is also closed as it is submitted by proxy counsel that they do not wish to lead evidence.

SDM, Preet Vihar has sent report to the effect that after receiving direction of the Court for auction of the offending vehicle, notice was sent to Transport Department for inspection of the vehicle and to submit the assessment report but no response received. However, SDM has not given explanation as to why compliance report was not filed earlier. In view of this, it is clarified that in case, auction proceedings are not completed by the next date, SDM shall remain present in person for explanation, failing which it shall be assumed that he has no explanation to offer and appropriate legal action would be initiated against him.

Copy of order be sent to SDM, Preet Vihar through official present in the court, for compliance.

Put up for final arguments on 15.07.2020.

(Vivek Kumar Gulia)
PO MACT (East)/KKD/Delhi
21.01.2020