

CS 518/22

UCO BANK Vs. M/S K. P. ELECTRICALS AND ORS.

29.11.2023

Present: Sh. Yogesh Sharma, ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Gaurav Bindal, ld. Counsel for defendant.

At this stage, an application u/s 151 CPC for substitution of AR filed on behalf of plaintiff along-with vakalatnama.

Arguments heard on the application.

Since the application is formal in nature, the same is disposed off as allowed.

Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that despite directions, he has not been supplied with complete set of documents filed by the plaintiff and that is why, he could not file the written statement. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff undertakes to supply the same during the course of the day. Let the same be supplied against due acknowledgement.

Written statement be filed within the stipulated period with advance copy to the opposite party. Thereafter, replication, if any, be filed within 30 days with advance copy to the opposite party.

Put up for completion of pleadings/filing of affidavit of admission/denial of documents/proposed issues, if any/list of witnesses/framing of issues on **11.03.2024**.

(Ajay Garg)
Additional District Judge-01
(East), KKD Court, Delhi/29.11.2023