

11.07.2026

Pr. Sh. Amarnath, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant.

Sh. Pankaj Singhal, ld. Counsel for respondent through
VC.

Sh. M.K. Singh, ld. Proxy Counsel for respondent.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for applicant submits that the main
counsel will attend the proceedings within a short span of time.
Be awaited.

(SHUCHI LALER)
District Judge-02 (East),
Karkardooma Courts/11.07.2026

At 11:20AM

Pr. Sh. Amarnath, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant.

Sh. Pankaj Singhal, ld. Counsel for respondent through
VC.

Sh. M.K. Singh, ld. Proxy Counsel for respondent.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for applicant submits that the main
counsel will attend the proceedings within a short span of time.
Be awaited till 11:30AM.

(SHUCHI LALER)
District Judge-02 (East),
Karkardooma Courts/11.07.2026

At 12:15PM

Pr. Sh. Amarnath, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the applicant.

Sh. Rakesh Chander Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant.

Sh. Pankaj Singhal, ld. Counsel for respondent through
VC.

Sh. M.K. Singh, Id. Proxy Counsel for respondent.

During the course of arguments on the application under Order IX Rule 13 CPC, it is noticed that the instant decree for possession, arrears of rent and mesne profits has been passed with respect to a shop. A question has arisen for consideration as to whether the aspect of jurisdiction can be challenged while adjudicating upon the application under Order IX Rule 13 CPC. Ld. Counsels for parties have sought time to do some re-search in this regard.

Re-notify the matter for arguments on application under Order IX Rule 13 CPC on 29.07.2026.

(SHUCHI LALER)
District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/11.07.2026