

7 CS DJ 337/25

D C AGARWAL Vs. HINDUSTAN TIMES

19.09.2025

Present : Sh. Prateek Bhalla and Sh. Akshay Soni,
Ld. Counsels for plaintiff.
Sh. Amit Bajaj, Ld. Counsel for defendants
no.1 & 2.
Sh. Ishitiz Jain and Sh. Saubhagya Bansal,
Ld. Counsels for defendant no.3.

1. Parties have advanced arguments on application under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC.
2. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that certain factual mistakes have been mentioned in the article which has declared plaintiff no.2 has guilty without criminal trial being decided.
3. Per contra, Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 & 2 has submitted that he has mentioned allegations against plaintiff no.2 which are in public domain and the information received through investigation conducted by defendant no.2.
4. During the course of arguments, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that some of them are allegations and some are defamatory statements and he will provide list of portion of the articles which are defamatory to the plaintiff as per him.
5. Let the same be exchanged between defendants no.1 & 2 within one week.
6. Ld. Counsel for defendants no.1 & 2 has submitted that he will seek instructions as to the modification can be carried out in the articles or not.
7. There is application under Order XI Rule 14 CPC filed by defendants no.1 & 2.

8. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that he does not wish to file reply to the said application.
9. Arguments heard on the said application.
10. There is another application under Order I Rule 10 CPC filed by defendant no.3.
11. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that he does not wish to reply to the said application also.
12. In view of same, list **for 17.10.2025**.

(Rahul Bhatia)
DJ-01 (South East) Saket Court
New Delhi/19.09.2025 (vg)