

**18 CS 376/23 ANUSHREE SECURITIES PRIVATE LIMITED Vs.
PRAMOD TIBREWALA**

20.07.2026

Present: Mr. Vedant Kumar Mathenia, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
plaintiff through VC.
Mr. Santosh Tiwari- Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Ld. Proxy Counsel seeks adjournment submitting that Ld. Main
Counsel is unavailable today.

Adjournment opposed submitting that reply to the application under
Order XVIII Rule 17 r/w Section 151 CPC for recalling the PW1, has not
been filed.

Heard. Case file perused.

Record reveals that PW1 Poonam Singhal was examined on
14.11.2024 and on that day Ld. Previous counsel for the defendant has not
cross examined the witness, so she was discharged.

Defendant has changed the counsel and the present Ld. Counsel
moved an application for recalling the PW1 for her cross examination.

It is noted that in spite of sufficient opportunity, no reply to the
aforesaid application has been filed.

Considering the fact that the PW1 Ms. Poonam Singhal is the
material witness and for the just decision of the case, her cross
examination is relevant. So considering the fact, the aforesaid application
is allowed subject to payment of cost of Rs. 5,000/-. Application disposed
of as such.

List this matter for payment of cost and for cross examination of
PW1 on 20.08.2026

(Ravinder Singh - I)
District Judge-03/East District,
KKD Courts, Delhi/20.07.2026/j