

CS 466/20
ROHTASH SAYAL
VS.
B.D. MEHTA

21.01.2026

Present : Sh. K.K. Harit and Sh. Monu, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Sh. Wajeeh Shafiaq, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 through VC.

Sh. Gaurav Saini, Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant through VC.

None for defendant nos.2 to 4. (Right to file WS already closed vide order dated 27.02.2025).

Defendant nos.5 to 8 already proceeded ex-parte vide order dated 14.12.2021.

Despite several opportunities, reply to application u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC not filed on behalf of proposed defendant namely Hasib Beg and Kuldeep Bhati.

Today again, there is no representation on behalf of aforesaid defendants. In view thereof, right of proposed defendant namely Hasib Beg and Kuldeep Bhati to file reply to the aforesaid application is hereby closed.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks some more time to take steps to serve notice of the application u/O 1 Rule 10 to proposed defendant-Sultan Ali.

Put up for further proceedings on **04.06.2026**.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/21.01.2026

At 12:04 PM:

At this stage, Sh. Arvind Sharma, Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant namely Hasib Beg and Kuldeep Bhati appeared. He is apprised about proceedings and next date of hearing.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/21.01.2026