

CS 466/20
ROHTASH SAYAL
Vs.
B D MEHTA

04.06.2025

Present: Plaintiff in person (*through VC*)
Sh. K.K. Harit for Plaintiff no. 2
Sh. Wajeem Shafiq, Sh. Vishwajeet Kumar and Sh.
Vartul Vishnoi, Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 1
Sh. Arvind Sharma, Ld. counsel for proposed
defendant Kuldeep Bhati and Hasib Beg.
None for the defendant no. 2, 3 and 4. (Right to file
WS of defendant no. 2, 3 and 4 already closed vide
order dated 27.02.2025)

Ld. Counsel for proposed defendants namely Hasib Beg and Kuldeep submits that reply to the application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC could not be filed as annexures mentioned in the said application have not been supplied to the proposed defendants despite directions given on the LDOH.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff undertakes to supply copy of the said annexures to Ld. counsel for the proposed defendants during the course of the day.

Thereafter, reply to the aforesaid application be filed within six weeks with advanced copy to Ld. counsel for plaintiff.

Steps not taken to serve another proposed defendant namely Sultan Ali.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff seeks some time to place of record fresh address of proposed defendant Sultan Ali.

At request of Ld. counsel for plaintiff, put up for further proceedings on **09.10.2025**.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
East/KKD Court/Delhi/04.06.2025