

CS 466/20
ROHTASH SAYAL
Vs.
B D MEHTA

05.12.2024

Present : Mr. K.K. Harit and Mr. Monu Bansal, Ld. Counsel
for plaintiff no.2.
Mr. Wajeeh Shafiq and Mr. Vishwajeet Kumar, Ld.
Counsel for defendant no.1.
None for defendant nos.2, 3 and 4.
Mr. Gaurav Soni and Mr. Manveer Singh, Ld.
Counsel for proposed defendant- Raj Kumari @ Raj
Kumari Soni.

Defendant nos.2, 3 and 4 served by way of
affixation. However, despite service, there is no representation on
their behalf.

Let WS be filed on behalf of defendant nos.2 to 4
within stipulated period with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for the
plaintiff.

Proposed defendants, namely, Raj Kumari and
Haseeb Beg served.

Ld. Counsel appeared on behalf of the proposed
defendant- Raj Kumari and filed the vakalatnama. The same is
taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant- Raj Kumari
seeks some time to file reply to the application U/O I Rule 10
CPC. Let the said reply be filed within four weeks from today

with advance copy to the opposite party.

Despite service, there is no representation on behalf of the proposed defendant, namely, Haseeb Beg. Let reply be also filed on behalf of Haseeb Beg to the aforesaid application within four weeks from today with advance copy to opposite party.

Notice of the application U/O I Rule 10 CPC issued to proposed defendants, namely, Sultan Ali @ Sultan and Kuldeep Bhati not received back.

At request, issue fresh notice of the application U/O I Rule 10 CPC to the aforesaid unserved proposed defendants to be executed through the concerned Ld. District and Sessions Judge on filing of PF/RC/Copies and through all acceptable modes of services for **27.02.2025**. *Steps be taken within one week from today.*

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/05.12.2024