

CA No. 75/2022  
Sandeep Wattal vs. Meetu Raina

01.06.2026

Present: Appellant with Sh. Pradeep Kumar Sharma and Sh.  
Saurabh Sachan, advocate for appellant.  
Respondent with Ms. Punam Koul, advocate.

Appellant has transferred Rs.2 Lakhs (Rs.50,000/- on 20.03.2026 & Rs.1,50,000/- on 15.04.2026) in the bank account of the respondent who has not seen her account, so is not sure whether the amount has been credited in her account or not.

On the last date of hearing, appellant had informed that the respondent was not residing at the given address, so she was directed to furnish her new address, even if it is temporary. Respondent stated that she is still residing at the address H.No. 72, Manu Apartment, Mayur Vihar, Phase-I, East Delhi. She also informed that her mobile number is 9971688394. She has even given this address in a slip/piece of paper in her own handwriting.

Record shows that as per report of the process server dated 07.11.2022, one Sh. Anil Dhar had informed that the respondent was not residing at his address. Again same Sh. Anil Dhar told the process server as per his report dated 11.01.2023 that respondent is not residing and she had already left the address long back. There is one another report of the process server dated 20.02.2023 which says that Sh. Anil Dhar again told that respondent had left the address several years back.

Fresh notice of the appeal was issued and the process server in his report dated 21.03.2023 reported that on his visit,

one Smt. Annu met who described her relation with the respondent as Bhabhi and told that respondent had already left the address as she stayed in the said house only for few days. There is one another report dated 20.07.2023 which shows that again Sh. Anil Dhar met the process server and told that the respondent being his relative was living at the address at one time but she had already left the address.

There are three unserved speed post envelopes on record which also shows that no such person in the name of the respondent was found residing at the address. At last, the notice of this appeal was served upon the counsel for the respondent who was appearing before the Trial Court. All these above reports creates a big doubt whether the respondent is giving her correct address to the court or not. Even she has given the same address to the court in her hand on a piece of paper when a query was put to her. Ahlmad is directed to keep that slip/piece of paper on record.

Let the SHO to verify whether the respondent is still residing at the address of H.No. 72, Manu Apartment, Mayur Vihar, Phase-1, East Delhi having Mobile Phone No. 9971688394 and submit report on the next date. SHO is directed to depute some officer not below the rank of Sub Inspector for such verification. He has to record the statements of the owner/occupants of the above address including the statements of Sh. Anil Dhar and Smt. Annu. Verifying Officer shall further make inquiries from the neighbours as well as from the guard of the society and also will check the register maintained by the guard to find out whether the respondent used to visit at the above address or not.

It is made clear to the respondent that in case, it is found that she is giving incorrect address, then the court can prosecute her also.

When a query was put to the parties whether they are interested for any settlement and whether the matter can be referred to mediation or not, then respondent stated that she is ready for mediation if appellant is ready to keep her with him but appellant is not ready for the same, so mediation is not possible in this matter.

Appellant stated that he had filed a divorce case against the respondent which was once dismissed in default and now he has moved a restoration application which is pending for hearing in Ghaziabad Family Court on 08.06.2026 and notice of restoration application issued by the court is not being served upon her. Respondent is informed about the same. Appellant stated that he will send the copy of the application to the respondent on her whatsapp. Now it will be her discretion, whether to attend the Ghaziabad Family Court on the date fixed for 08.06.2026 despite having such information or not.

Put up this matter on **13.07.2026 at 11:30 a.m.** for final arguments on appeal and awaiting verification report of the address of respondent. Copy of this order be sent to the SHO concerned for compliance.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge  
East District, KKD Delhi/01.06.2026