

06.04.2019

Present: Sh. Bhupesh Kumar Pathak and Sh. Kalyan Dutt, Ld.
Counsel for IP No.3/ Peerless Gen. Finance Ltd.
Sh.J.S. Vohra, Ld. Counsel for IP No.1.
Sh. A.C. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for UOI.

Sh. Pankaj Malhotra proxy for Sh. Hemant Malhotra, Ld. Counsel for applicant/intervenors represented by Sh. Raj Kujmar Aggarwal, who is not present in the court filed vakalatnama. It appears that vakalatnama being filed today bears the signatures of some other persons also even they are not present in the court. Opportunity is sought by Sh. Pankaj Malhotra, proxy counsel for the applicants/intervenors on the ground that father-in-law of Ld. Arguing counsel Sh. Hemant Malhotra, has expired on 03.04.2019. It is to be noted that none was present for the applicants/intervenors on last date of hearing. Sh. Pankaj Malhotra present for applicants/intervenors states that application has been moved in representative capacity and one of the applicant Sh. Raj Kumar Aggarwal, represents other nine applicants, who have conferred upon him the authority to appear and pursue the application on behalf of all the applicants/intervenors, vide power of attorney dated 07.01.2014 and 13.01.2014, copies whereof are on record. It further appears that said Sh. Raj Kumar Aggarwal signed the vakalatnama being filed today, purportedly, on behalf of some other persons also, as already noted above. Since no applicant/intervenor is

LAC. No. 50/16
MANDIR SIRI SITA RAM JI VS UOI

-2-

present and even no one was present for the applicants/intervenors on LDOH also, application is being dismissed in default. Put up on 20.09.2019 along with related petition/reference petition U/Sec. 30-31 of L.A Act.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/06.04.2019