

3

MISC DJ 11040/16

VINOD KUMAR Vs. JAI CHAND

02.12.2025

Present : Sh. Rajeev Kumar and Sh. Vinayak P. Rao, Ld.
Counsel for the applicants with applicant Sh. Vinod
Kumar in person.
Sh. Vineet Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent
no. 1 / Jai Chand.
None for other respondents.
Ms. Geetanjali, Ld. Local Commissioner appears
through VC.

Matter is fixed for consideration on objections filed on
behalf of respondent no. 1/ Jai Chand to the report of Ld. Local
Commissioner.

Arguments heard on objections filed on behalf of
respondent no. 1/ Jai Chand to the report of Ld. Local Commissioner.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that due to
typographical error, Ld. Local Commissioner has mentioned in para
no. 7 of the report that suit property shall be divided into eight
share/parts. However, suit property shall be divided as per preliminary
decree.

Ld. Local Commissioner is directed to file revised
report after making necessary correction in para no. 7 and conclusion
part.

Put up for filing of revised report of Ld. Local
Commissioner as well as deciding objections on behalf of respondent
no. 1 on **10.12.2025 at 2.00 p.m.**

(SOMITRA KUMAR)
DJ-06,EAST/ KKD COURTS
DELHI/02.12.2025 (s)