

RCT ARCT 26/18

LAL BAHADUR Vs. GULSHAN DHEMIJA (DECEASED  
THROUGH LRS)

23.07.2024

Pr.: Sh. Q.H.Khan, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.  
Sh. Yogesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for LR's of respondent.

On last date of hearing while perusing the file for passing order, certain clarifications regarding ownership/title of the parties have arisen, therefore, matter was fixed today for clarification.

Today, it is again admitted by Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that erstwhile tenant or his LR's are not in possession of premises in question but SPA of the respondents is in possession. SPA of the respondents is claiming himself to be owner of the premises in question.

Counsel for the respondents has also submitted that respondents are claiming to be owner.

Ld. Counsels for both the parties seek time for further arguments and file relevant documents.

At request, put up on **23.08.2024** for filing of documents by the parties, if any/ final arguments.

(Shail Jain)  
Principal District & Sessions Judge,  
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.  
23.07.2024 (mk)