

SC 904/2022

STATE Vs. SAMEER @ TILLU AND ORS.

FIR NO.436/2022

PS Kalyan Puri

17.12.2025.

Present : Sh. Gaurav Pandey, Ld. Addl PP for the State.
Accused Sameer @ Tillu & Pawan in person.
Surety Rekha for accused Pawan.
Sh. Vicky Nagar, Proxy counsel (Enrl No.D-144/2016) for Ms. Roshni, Ld. Counsel for accused Pawan.

An application has been filed on behalf of accused Pawan for cancellation of his NBW. Warrant of arrest issued against accused Pawan has been received back unexecuted with the report that he never resided at D-20, T Camp, Khichri Pur and he has shifted from the rented accommodation F-36, T Camp Khichri Pur, Delhi about eight months back.

Ld. counsel for accused Pawan submits that accused Pawan was in judicial custody from 21.09.2025 to 27.11.2025 and counsel for accused was busy in another court and hence could not inform about the same on 14.11.2025.

The particulars of the relevant case in which accused Pawan was in judicial custody have not been mentioned in the application. Even otherwise the surety who had taken responsibility for regular appearance of accused Pawan in the Court could have appeared in person on 14.11.2025 and informed about the same.

Hence, there is no ground for cancellation of NBW. Accordingly, the application filed on behalf of accused Pawan is dismissed. **Accused Pawan be taken in judicial custody.**

Bail bond of accused Accused Sameer @ Tillu has also been forfeited. No application has been filed for cancellation of NBW

against accused Sameer @ Tillu. Since, bail bond of accused Sameer @ Tillu has already been forfeited, **he be taken into judicial custody in this case.**

Surety Anisha Khatoon for accused Sameer @ Tillu is absent despite service of the notice under Section 446 Cr.P.C. Surety bond of **Anisha Khatoon** is forfeited. **Issue warrant of attachment against the FDR bearing Deposit Account No. 50300869780111 issued by HDFC Bank, Patparganj Industrial Area Branch in the name of Ms Anisha Khatoon, to be executed through SHO PS Kalyan Puri.**

Surety Rekha for accused Pawan has prayed for taking a lenient view as she is uneducated and had informed to their counsel about accused Pawan being in judicial custody in another case.

In view of submission and considering that accused Pawan has appeared today, I take a lenient view. Surety bond of Rekha is cancelled and she is discharged, **subject to penalty of Rs.3,000/-.** **Surety Rekha has assured to deposit the penalty amount on next date of hearing.**

Adjournment sought by Ld. Proxy counsel for accused Pawan as the main counsel is not available due to personal difficulty.

Put up for final arguments on **08.01.2026.**

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
East District, KKD/Delhi/17.12.2025