

9 MACT 498/22
SARITA Vs. SONU CHAUHAN

30.04.2024

Present: None for Petitioner Nos.1&2.

Kumar Shivam, Ld. Counsel for petitioner No.3/mother of deceased and proposed petitioners.

None for R1 & R2.

Sh. Vinod Kumar, Ld. Counsel for R3/Insurer.

Ld. Counsel for R3 submits that he has moved an application seeking deletion on the name of insurance company and as the copy of insurance certificate provided earlier did not cover the date of accident. It is further submitted that post moving of the said application, another insurance certificate has been provided to the insurance company, copy of which is on judicial record and this certificate purports to cover the period of insurance but the said certificate is fake and verification report may be called through IO and time may be granted to the insurance company to move fresh application seeking its deletion, mentioning this subsequent facts. Copy of the reply filed on behalf of petitioners to the pending application of proposed petitioners is supplied to Ld. Counsel for proposed petitioners.

Be put up for reply and arguments on **16.08.2024**. In the meantime, let the insurance certificate on record be verified through IO for date fixed.

R1 & R2 are directed to supply copy of the valid insurance certificate to both insurance company as well as IO, in case available within 15 days from today.

(Mayuri Singh)
P.O. MACT (East)/Delhi
30/04/2024