

**IN THE COURT OF SESSION FOR GR. BOMBAY AT MUMBAI  
ORDER BELOW EXH.66**

**IN**

**SPL. CASE NO. 726 OF 2025**

Ajay Nawandar

... **Accused No.11**

Versus

State of Maharashtra(EOW)

... **Respondent**

**Appearance:**

Mr. Vipulkumar Jain, Ld Adv. for applicant.

Mr. Sunil Gonsalves, Ld.SPP

**CORAM :** HIS HONOUR ADDL.SSESSIONS  
JUDGE R. B. ROTE  
(C.R.No.16)

**DATE :** 17.02.2026

**ORDER**

1. The accused no.11 has filed this application for seeking extension of time till next date for furnishing the surety as per the order of this Court.
2. The prosecution has strongly opposed the application, contending that sufficient time was already availed by the applicant, yet surety is not furnished.
3. Heard learned counsel for the accused and learned SPP. It is submitted that the accused could not be able to furnish the surety because of unavailability of sureties and solvency certificate.
4. In Mumbai securing surety is a very difficult and time consuming process. The applicant is late in furnishing surety for the reasons mentioned in the application, which are justified and acceptable. There are no allegation in respect of breach of the terms and conditions of the bail bonds. Therefore if the time is extended in the interest of justice, no prejudice will be caused to the prosecution. Hence application is allowed extension to furnish surety is **granted till next date.**

Dt.: 17.02.2026

( R.B. Rote)  
**Additional Sessions Judge**  
**Designated as Special Court under**  
**the PML Act, 2002**  
**City Civil & Sessions Court, Mumbai**