

CS 5/25
MEENA SETH
Vs.
SACHIN JAIN

16.03.2026

Present : Mr. Suraj Chandel, Ld. proxy counsel for the counter-claimant.

None for non counter-claimant.

The matter is fixed for addressing arguments on the application u/O VII Rule 11 CPC.

On the last date of hearing, last and final opportunity was granted to the non counter-claimant to address arguments on the said application.

However, today again there is no representation on behalf of the defendant to pursue the aforesaid application.

In view thereof, the application u/O VII Rule 11 CPC filed by the non counter-claimant is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pleadings stated to be completed and following issues are hereby framed:-

a) **Whether the counter-claimant is entitled for a decree of possession in respect of the suit property i.e. 35-A, Pocket-C, Mayur Vihar, Phase-II, New Delhi-110091, as prayed for? OCC**

b) **Whether the counter-claimant is entitled for a**

decree of damages @ Rs.2,000/- per day against the non counter-claimant for illegally occupying the suit property, as prayed for? OCC

c) Whether the counter-claimant is entitled for a decree of pendente lite and future interest, if so, at what rate and for what period, as prayed for? OCC

d) Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed for.

Put up for PE on **02.06.2026**. Let list of witnesses be filed on behalf of both the parties within stipulated period and affidavit of evidence of all counter-claimant's witnesses be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to Ld. counsel for defendant.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/16.03.2026