

TM No.13745/16

Tag Heuer SA VS Ravinder Pal

02.08.2017

Present: Ld. Counsel Sh. Kamal Naresh for plaintiff.

None for defendant.

Previous cost not paid also no WS filed after disposal of u/O 7 rule 11 CPC application. **Right of defendant to file WS is hereby closed.**

Out of pleadings following of points for consideration arise:-

POINTS FOR CONSIDERATION-

- 1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to decree for permanent injunction restraining defendants, their agents, representatives, stockists etc. for using, selling, soliciting etc. of impugned goods containing Trade Mark/lable TAG HEUER of the impugned goods?OPP.**
- 2. Whether plaintiff is entitled to decree of injunction restraining the defendants and their subjects from dealing with or disposing of the merchandise containing trade mark/lable TAG HEUER of the impugned goods? OPP.**
- 3. Whether plaintiff is entitled to decree of delivery up of impugned goods containing Trade Mark/lable TAG HEUER of the impugned goods? OPP.**

Contd...2/-

4. **Whether plaintiff is entitled to Rendition of accounts and damages on account of profits made by the defendant by sale of goods containing Trade Mark/lable TAG HEUER of the impugned goods? OPP.**
5. **Relief.**

No other issue arise or pressed.

Put up for PE on **10.10.2017.**

(Surinder S. Rathi)
ADJ-03/PHC/NEW DELHI.
02.08.2017