

18 MACT 291/23
MANJEET KESHWANI Vs. DEEPAK YADAV

07/02/2024

Present: Sh. Madhur Goyal, Ld. Counsel for petitioner..

None for R1.

Sh. Harmeet Singh Gulati, Ld. Counsel for R2 alongwith
R2.

Sh. Rajneesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for R3/Insurer.

WS filed on behalf of R2. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for R2 has apprised the Tribunal that his associate had appeared on the last date only for R2 whereas presence has been marked for both respondents. Heard and record perused. It is clarified that Sh. Harmeet Singh Gulati, Ld. Counsel is representing only R2. However, R1 had appeared in person on first date of hearing.

Opportunity of R1 to file reply, stands closed.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for R2 that at some places in the DAR like coloumn 7, Form VII, name of R2 is mentioned as Gaya Prasad instead of Ganesh Prasad. The same appears to be typographical error. IO summoned to give clarification in this regard.

Ld. Counsel for R2 orally submits that R3 may revise legal offer to the extent of addition of medical bills now provided but this is not acceptable to the petitioner.

Since the insurance company has given legal offer, apparently the insurance company has admitted its liability and the

only issue remains to be decided is with respect to quantum of compensation. Thus, the following issues regarding quantum of compensation is hereby framed :-

(i) Whether the petitioner is entitled to compensation on account of said accident and if yes, to what extent and from whom? (OPP)

(ii) Relief?

List of witnesses be filed within two weeks. Steps be taken for summoning of the witnesses within two weeks. Copy of the affidavits be supplied in advance to counsel for the respondents against acknowledgment in writing.

Put up for PE on **01.05.2024**.

(Mayuri Singh)

**P.O. MACT (East)/Delhi
07/02/2024**