

CS 476/19

Manoj Kumar Gupta v. ECNON Residency Buildcon Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

21.01.2021

Pr: Counsel Ms. Meena Gupta for plaintiff (physically present).

None for the defendants.

As per report on the process, office of D-1 is lying closed for quite some time. D-2 Neeraj remains unserved with report that the landlord (name of landlord not specified in the service report) was not in the house. As per report on the process, a tenant namely Ashok Gupta told the process server that his landlord Kushal Rathi (defendant no. 3) resides somewhere else. The report of service of D-4 Ritu is same as that of D-1.

As per the returned postal envelopes, there is remark of *addressee* left without instructions as regards D-1, D-2 Neeraj and D-4 Ritu.

Let defendant no. 3 Kushal Rathi be served afresh with the summons for appearance under the prescribed format under Order XXXVII, CPC on filing of PF/RC/Speed Post and Authorised Courier. Process may be taken dasti. In case of refusal or D-3 Kushal not being found at the given address, he may be served through the mode of **affixation**.

Plaintiff to trace out the fresh address of D-1, D-2 and D-4. Put up on **25.03.2021**.

M. P. SINGH
ADJ-03(East)
KKD Courts-21.01.2021