

CS 43/23

RAJESH KUMAR AGGARWAL Vs. NEHA AGGARWAL

01.06.2024

Present: Sh. Prakhyat Sharma and Sh. Atul Sharma, Id. Counsels for plaintiff.
Ms. Vishalakshi Goel, Id. Counsel for defendant (through VC) with defendant (present in court).

Proxy counsel for defendant submits that the copy of application u/o 12 rule 6 CPC has not been supplied.

Counsel for plaintiff submits that he had supplied the copy of application alongwith replication to the WS filed by the defendant on the whatsapp number of main counsel for defendant Mr. Arman Gupta on 04.03.2024.

Proxy counsel for defendant submits that the same has not been supplied on the phone number of the main counsel for defendant.

Counsel for plaintiff, in order to cut short the controversy, has supplied hard copy of aforesaid application alongwith replication to the WS filed by the defendant to the defendant.

Reply to the applciation u/o 12 rule 6 CPC, if any, be filed by the defendant within 04 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Put up for arguments on application u/o 12 rule 6 CPC on 22.08.2024.

(Mohammad Ehtesham)
DJ – 03, Shahdara District,
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi
01.06.2024