

CS 208/23 PRAVEEN KUMAR
Vs. RAMESH CHAND GAUTAM AND ORS

26.06.2023

Pr. Sh. Mahesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel with plaintiff.

Defendant No.1 in person, who is also representing the defendants No.3 and 4.

Sh. M.A. Ansari, Ld. Counsel for the defendant No.2.

Vakalatnama and written statement/reply is filed by the defendant No.1, 3 and 4, copy, already supplied through post.

Sh. Kumar submits that he would file replication/(s), with advance copy/(s) to the opposite party/(s) against receipt and also move application under Order XII Rule 6 CPC for passing judgement on admission as the defendant No.1 has already admitted his entire case in the joint written statement submitted today. Sh. Ansari states that the defendant No.2, though, a party to the Collaboration agreement, with the defendant No.1 but is not a party, concerned, any way with the plaintiff and has been arrayed unnecessarily. His further submission is that the defendant No.1 has not adhered to the terms and conditions of the Collaboration agreement and has behaved irresponsibly.

Put up on 11.07.2023 for replication/(s) also application if any with copy in advance, if moved.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Addl. District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/26.06.2023