

11 Execution (Comm.) 169/25

Dr. S L Grover Vs. M/S Pratap University

23.04.2026 (At 10:44AM)

Present : Sh. Mayank Maini, Ld. Counsel for the DH.

Ms. Swati Surbhi, Ld. Counsel for the JD through
video conferencing.

1. It has been pointed out to me that JD had not filed petition before Hon'ble Delhi High Court in respect of last order passed in the present execution petition, rather it was filed in another execution petition no. 171/2025 only (ref. CM (M)739/2026).
2. In the present case, on the last date of hearing, this court had directed to issue warrants of attachment and report has been received back on such warrants that one lady met at the given address, who refused to open the door on the pretext that owners are not at home. One cannot assume that owners of that property i.e. JD are not aware of the orders passed by this court on 18.03.2026. Today even AR is absent and counsel for JD submits that he is away at Jaipur and is unable to appear today. It is well apparent that JD university and its managing officials/owner are bent upon to defeat the purpose of this decree.
3. In these circumstances, I have no other option left but to order for break upon the locks/door of the given property. It is also worth to mention here that despite given directions on 18.03.2026 to the AR, no affidavit has been filed on behalf of JD no.1 and JD no.2 to furnish details of the assets of both these entities. In these circumstances, JD no.3, who is stated to

be the owner/person managing the affairs of JD no.1 and JD no.2 becomes a person, who in my opinion is responsible for all these tactics being adopted to defeat the mandate of decree. It is his duty to ensure that directions given by the court are fully complied with.

4. Issue warrants of attachment of all the properties lying in the aforesaid office of JD No.1 on filing of PF with permission to break open the locks, if so required. At the same time, it is directed that local police/local SHO/concerned DCP shall be under duty to provide all necessary assistance to bailiff, which may be necessary to execute the warrants of attachment. In case of requirement to break open the locks, same must be done in the presence of local police. Such endorsement be also made over the warrants of attachment.
5. Issue warrants of arrest against JD No.3 on filing of PF and same be also sent to local SHO to execute it in accordance with law. Needless to say that for execution of this warrants, there might be necessity to locate this JD and to trace him as well. Any assistance may be taken from the DH. Steps for service be taken up within one week.

DH to appear before ld. ACJ on 06.05.2026.

DH to appear before this court on 28.05.2026.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
23.04.2026

At 12:35 PM.

At this stage, I have noted that there was stay against warrants of arrest against JD no.3 in a different petition before Hon'ble Delhi High Court bearing no. CM (M) 477/2026. In view of the same, order regarding issuance of warrants of arrest is being recalled.

Put up the date fixed i.e. 28.05.2026.

Pulastya Pramachala
District Judge (Commercial Court)-01
Patiala House Court, New Delhi.
23.04.2026