

18.08.2025

Present: Plaintiff in person.

Mr. Santosh Pratap, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff  
through VC.

Ms. Kritika, Ld. Counsel for the defendant through  
VC.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff is addressing the Court while  
sitting in his car.

By office note bearing no. 34117-34167/Genl/NDD/2024  
dated 02.12.2024, a letter of Bar Council of Delhi bearing  
no. 602/Gen/SF/2024 and dated 12.11.2024 issuing guidelines to  
be followed by advocates while appearing through video  
conferencing was circulated amongst all judicial officers for  
information and necessary action.

By this letter, the Bar Council of Delhi decided that if  
advocates do not follow the decorum, etiquettes and judicial  
norms while appearing through video conferencing, it shall  
amount to misconduct under Section 35 of the Advocates Act. It  
was directed that appropriate action shall be initiated on  
receiving any complaint about such misconduct.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has stated that since the car is  
stationary and not moving, there is no misconduct on his part.

While the Court is dictating this order, the Counsel has stepped out of the car and has submitted that there is no misconduct on his part since he has stepped out of his car.

Since the Counsel for the plaintiff was sitting in the car and addressing the Court, it amounts to misconduct under Section 35 of the Advocates Act.

Issue notice to the Secretary, Bar Council of Delhi informing him of the conduct of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff for taking appropriate action.

Copy of this order and of the vakalatnama of the Counsel for the plaintiff be sent alongwith the notice.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant submits that she had filed an application under Order 7 Rule 11 of CPC.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he is ready to address arguments on this application. However, in view of the aforementioned conduct of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, the Court is not inclined to hear arguments of the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff today.

Matter is adjourned for arguments on this application to 10.09.2025.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that the order passed by the Court is completely incorrect.

Ld Counsel for the plaintiff is cautioned to be careful while making submissions to the Court. In case he is aggrieved by the order passed by the Court, he is required to assail it before the superior Court and not criticize it before this Court itself, which amounts to contempt of Court.

(Shirish Aggarwal)  
District Judge-03  
East District, KKD Courts,  
Delhi, 18.08.2025

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has appeared in person. He apologizes for his conduct and requests that notice be not issued to the Bar Council. He assures the Court that he will be careful in future.

In view of the apology and assurance given, a lenient view is taken and it is directed that no notice be issued to the Bar Council.

(Shirish Aggarwal)  
District Judge-03  
East District, KKD Courts,  
Delhi, 18.08.2025