

5 CS 334/22

MOHD IMTIYAZ Vs. RAJU

10.05.2024

Present : Sh. Ifat Sultana, Ld. Counsel with the plaintiff.

None for the defendants on call at 11.05am.

Spare copy of the written statement filed by the defendant

No.2 is given to Ms. Sultana, who states that she would not file any replication/(s) to the WS/(s) of the defendants and she is aware that the written statement filed on behalf of the defendant No.1, though, inadvertently, mentions, it to be filed on behalf of the defendant No.2 on the first page/Index and it would not make a difference in any case.

No admission-denial is being done. Following issues have been framed :-

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for the decree of Rs.8,70,000/- (Rs. Eight Lakhs Seventy Thousand Only) and if so, also interest thereupon, if any, at what rate and for what period? OPP.

2. Whether the suit is barred by limitation ? OPD.

3. Whether the suit has been correctly valued for the purposes of court fees and jurisdiction and sufficient court fees, leviable, in accordance with law has been affixed on the plaint ? OPD.

4. Relief.

No other issue arises or pressed for. Put up for PE on **08.10.2024**. Advance copies of affidavits of PWs be supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the defendant at least 15 days prior to the NDOH against receipt. PWs shall be present on NDOH. Parties may submit list of witnesses, as per rules.

Ms. Sultana states that she would affix the deficient court fees, if any, immediately and before the NDOH.

(Sanatan Prasad)

District Judge-02 (East)

Karkardooma Courts/Delhi/10.05.2024