

CS 625/24
KRITI MITTAL AGRAWAL Vs. JEET BAISLA

18.02.2025

Lawyers are abstaining from work today.

Present : Mr. Dhruv Sharma and Ms. Garima, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. *(Joined through VC)*
Ld. Proxy counsel for defendant. *(Joined through VC)*

As per report, defendant already served on 05.11.2024. However, despite service, WS has not been filed on behalf of the defendant. Even statutory period for filing the WS has already been expired. It seems that defendant is not interested in contesting the present matter.

In view thereof, the right of defendant to file the WS is hereby closed.

Pleadings stated to be completed. Following issues are hereby framed:-

- a) **Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of recovery of sum of Rs.48,70,000/-, as prayed for?**
OPP
- b) **Whether the plaintiff is entitled for any interest, if yes, at what rate and for what period?** **OPP**
- c) **Relief.**

No other issue arises or pressed for.

Put up for PE on **27.05.2025**. Let list of witnesses be filed within stipulated period and affidavit of evidence of all plaintiff's witnesses be filed within four weeks from today with advance copy to the defendant.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/18.02.2025