

22 CS 669/22

MUMTAZ BEGUM Vs. JAHANGIR MALLICK

02.06.2026

Pr. Sh. Subhash Tomar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Ms. Bushra Nizam, Ld. Counsel for defendants No.1 and 3
through VC.

None for defendant No.2.

An application under Order VII Rule 18 CPC filed by the plaintiff for filing on record the court fees. Copy for the opposite side retained on record. Same be supplied during course of the day against acknowledgement.

By way of instant application, the plaintiff is filing merely a court fees of Rs.120/-. In para No. 12 of the plaint, the plaintiff has valued the suit for the purpose of pecuniary jurisdiction at Rs. 8 lakhs and has affixed a court fees of Rs.137/- only. Prima facie, the valuation of the instant suit does not appear to be appropriate. Clarification is sought from plaintiff in this regard.

Re-notify the matter for arguments on the aspect of valuation, on 18.08.2026.

(SHUCHI LALER)
District Judge-02 (East),
Karkardooma Courts/02.06.2026