

MACP No. 107/18
Maya Vs. Prem Singh & Ors.

25.07.2019

Present : Petitioner in person with Ld. Counsel Ms. Sulekha
Thakur.
Sh. Pradeep Kumar Gupta, Ld. counsel for R-2 /
UPSRTC.

In compliance of last order, respondent No. 2 placed on record copy of driving licence of respondent No.1 and it is mentioned that driving licence has been produced by respondent No.1 at the time of inducting him in the office of respondent No. 2. It is observed that the address of respondent No. 1 on the DL is more or less same as mentioned in the claim petition.

Notice sent to respondent No. 1 through ordinary process not received. However steps were not taken to send the notice, through register post, speed post and *dasti*. In view of this, fresh notice be issued to respondent No. 1 in terms of last order. Notice be also served through concerned SHO PS Faridpur, U.P. and be sent, through DCP(East).

It is clarified that any omission in taking steps for service of the notice to respondent No. 1 would dis-entitle the petitioner to interest and appropriate order of imposing cost shall also be passed.

Petitioner filed an application under section 169 of MV Act seeking direction to MS, Dr. Hedgewar Hospital for issuance of disability certificate after assessment of extent and nature of disability. Considering the facts and circumstances and for the purpose of inquiry, **the application is allowed**. Separate order in specified format (Form J) be sent to the M.S, Dr. Hedgewar Hospital to do the needful in time bound manner.

To come up for further proceedings on **20.11.2019**.

(Vivek Kumar Gulia)
PO MACT (East)/KKD
Delhi/25.07.2019