

22.05.2025

Present: Shri Danish Khan, Id. Counsel for plaintiff through VC.  
Plaintiff is present.  
Ms. Sagun, Id. Counsel for D-2 through VC.  
Shri A.K. Kashyap, Id. Counsel for D-2 physically.  
Shri Rahul, Id. Counsel for D-1.

Fresh V/nama is filed by D-1. The same is taken on record.

The written statement and affidavit of admission & denial of document filed by D-2. Copy of the same stated to have been supplied on Email ID of Id. Counsel for plaintiff.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for D2 that the present suit filed by plaintiff is pertaining to the commercial dispute, therefore, the present suit deserves to be rejected as it is barred by Commercial Courts Act. It is further submitted that this court has no territorial jurisdiction to try the present suit as both the defendants are not having their addresses within the territorial jurisdiction of this court.

Ld. Counsel for D1 submits that the plaintiff has impleaded the D 1 with the wrong name, however, the correct name of D1 is Google LLC. In response to this, Id. counsel for plaintiff submits that he will take appropriate steps in this regard.

D-1 is seeking time to file written statement as he has received the complete set of plaint only on 13.05.2025.

Copy of order passed by Id. District Judge, Commercial Court no.2 New Delhi District, Patiala House Court in CS (Comm)

319/2025 thereby allowing the application u/o 39 rule 1 & 2 CPC filed by D2 against the plaintiff is placed on record by D-2. Copy is supplied.

In view of above submission, put up for arguments on the point of territorial jurisdiction and maintainability of present suit on 14.07.2025.

(Pooja Jain)  
District Judge-03/NB  
East/KKD Courts/Delhi  
22.05.2025