

GP 5/22

SH. SAURABH KHANNA Vs. STATE OF NCT OF DELHI
12.03.2024

Present : Ms. Ishita Nagpaul, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner along-with petitioner.
Proposed respondent no.2- Ms. Sangeeta Tandon in person.
Proposed respondent no.3- Ms. Promila Sabharwal appeared through video conferencing.
None for proposed respondent no.4- Pramod Seth.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for petitioner submits that proposed respondent no.4- Pramod Seth could not be served by way of ordinary process. Ld. Counsel for petitioner submits that though contact number of proposed respondent no.4 is available and he has been asked to furnish his current address, however, he has neither furnished his current address nor responded to the call of petitioner. Accordingly, he may be served by way of substituted service U/O V Rule 20 CPC.

Submissions heard.

The court is satisfied that the proposed respondent no.4- Pramod Seth cannot be served in the ordinary course. Therefore, the proposed respondent no.4- Pramod Seth be served at his last known address by way of publication in Hindi Newspaper (**Dainik Jagran**) as suggested by counsel for the petitioner as well as by way of affixation on filing of PF through electronic mode of service as well. Publication charges be deposited directly with the newspaper office for **04.05.02024**. *Steps be taken within a week.*

Accordingly, application U/O V Rule 20 CPC is disposed of as allowed.

(Ajay Garg)
Additional District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/12.03.2024