

30 MACT 250/21
SUNITA Vs. MUKESH KR. AGGARWAL

06.05.2024

Present : Sh. Kumar Shivam, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
R-1 in person.
Ms. Rupali Sharma, Ld. Counsel for R-3 / insurer (through VC)

In between the dates of hearing, an application was moved by R-1 which is vague and unclear as to provisions of law under which this application has been moved. R-1 was directed to explain in this regard and further to clarify whether it is final argument filed on behalf of R-1, to which he has stated that it is not final arguments. His counsel is not available today, hence put up for consideration on the maintainability of the application of R-1 filed today on the next date.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that PE before LC is already concluded and RE is pending and is yet to be led by R-1. It is submitted by counsel for R-3 that no evidence is to be led by R-3 at this stage as only after R-1 and R-2 lead evidence, insurance company will decide whether any evidence is to be led by R-3. Extension of time sought for recording of evidence before LC. Ld. Counsel for R-3 submits that as R-3 is not examining R-1 and R-2 as witness, it may be clarified as to who shall bear the fees for LC for witnesses examined by R-1 and R-2. It is clarified that fees of LC for examining of the witnesses of R-1 and R-2 before LC shall be borne by the respondent No.1 and 2.

Put up for final arguments on **05.08.2024**.

(Mayuri Singh)
PO MACT (East)/KKD
Delhi/06.05.2024