

CS No. 2625/16

17.08.2017

Present:- Plaintiff in person with Ld. Counsel Sh. Paritosh- Advocate  
Defendant no. 6 in person and for defendant nos. 1 to 8.  
Sh. M.G. Vacher, Ld. Counsel for the proposed defendant no. 9  
and 10.  
Sh. R.K. Mittal Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant no. 11.

Arguments on the application of the plaintiff under order 1 rule 10 CPC heard for impleading defendant no. 9 and 10. It is submitted that during the pendency of the present suit, the suit property has been sold off to the proposed defendant no. 9 and 10 and this fact has been admitted by these defendants/non applicants, who further submits that they are in physical possession of the suit property, which they have purchased vide a sale deed from defendant no. 2 to 6. Hence, in my opinion they become a necessary party to the present suit, the application is accordingly, allowed and non applicants/proposed defendant no. 9 and 10 are hereby impleaded as defendant to the present suit. Amended memo of parties be filed accordingly. Copies of the plaint and other documents have been supplied the newly added defendant no. 9 and 10 who shall be at liberty to file WS within the period of limitation.

Put up for reply arguments on the application of the plaintiff Under Order 6 rule 17 CPC on 07.10.2017. Interim orders to continue.

(Sanjay Sharma-I)  
Addl. District Judge-02(East)  
Karkardooma Courts- 17.08.2017