

CS 163/23
BHAKT RAJ
Vs.
SUMAN LATA NIM

04.06.2026

Present : Sh. H.S. Singh, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff along-with plaintiff.

Sh. Satish Verma, Ld. Counsel for defendants.

Affidavit of admission/denial of documents not filed on behalf of defendants.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that defendants have not filed any document along-with their reply, therefore, there is no need to file affidavit of admission/denial of documents.

Time sought by Ld. Counsel for defendants to file affidavit of admission/denial of documents, however, no plausible grounds have been given for not filing the same till date.

In view thereof, right of defendants to file affidavit of admission/denial of documents is hereby closed.

Pleadings stated to be completed. From the pleadings of the parties, following issues are hereby framed:

- (1) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of declaration, thereby, declaring the plaintiff as the absolute owner of the suit property i.e, MIG flat bearing no. B-43 (3rd Floor), Gaurav Apartments in the Gaurav Coop. Group Housing Society Ltd. Plot No. 1, IP Estate**

Extension, Patparganj, Delhi-110092, as prayed for? OPP

(2) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of mandatory injunction, thereby, directing the defendants to remove the lock from the outer gate of the suit property, as prayed for? OPP

(3) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for a decree of permanent injunction, thereby, prohibiting the defendants from interfering with the possession of the plaintiff in the suit property, as prayed for? OPP

(4) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of damages of Rs. 3,30,000/- against mesne profits for period from April, 2022 to Feb, 2023, as prayed for? OPP

(5) Whether the plaintiff is entitled for further damages at the rate of Rs. 30,000/-per month from February, 2023 till the removal of the lock of the defendants from the outer gate of the suit property, as prayed for? OPP

(6) Whether the plaintiff has already sold the suit property to the deceased father of the defendants vide Agreement to Sell dated 19.03.1996? OPD

(7) Whether the plaintiff has already received a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/- from the deceased father of defendants pursuant to the execution of Agreement to Sell dated 19.03.1996? OPD

(8) Whether the plaintiff has concealed the fact of status of mortgage of the suit property from the deceased father of defendants at the time of execution of the Agreement to Sell dated 19.03.1996? OPD

(9) Whether the deceased father of defendants has deposited a sum of Rs. 42,241/- in the HDFC Bank against repayment of loan taken by the plaintiff against suit property? OPD

(10) Relief.

Put up for PE on **25.08.2026**.

Evidence affidavit of plaintiff's witnesses be filed within six weeks from today with advance copy to Ld. Counsel for defendants and list of witnesses be filed by both the parties within two weeks from today.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/04.06.2026