

RCT ARCT 8/24

NAVEEN KUMAR Vs. MITHILESH MISHRA

27.03.2025

Pr. Sh. D.D.Sharma, Counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Akansha Jha, Ld. Counsel for the respondent,
appearing through VC.

Neither reply to appeal has been filed, nor cost of
Rs.2,000/- imposed on the respondent has been paid.

Ld. Counsel for respondent appearing through VC submits
that reply will be filed along with application for waiver of cost
and matter may be passed over till lunch.

At request, put up at 2.00 p.m.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
27.03.2025 (mk)

At 2.25 p.m.

Pr. Sh. D.D.Sharma, Counsel for the appellant.

Ms. Akansha Jha, Ld. Counsel for the respondent,
appearing through VC.

Sh. Rohit Mishra, Proxy counsel for respondent, physically
present in the court.

Reply to appeal along with application for waiver of cost
has been filed.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that copy of reply has
not been supplied to him. Proxy counsel for respondent submits
that reply has been sent on mail of the appellant.

If copy of reply has not yet been supplied/sent to the appellant, same be sent/supplies during the course of the day.

Ld. Counsel for appellant submits that he wants to file reply to application for waiver of cost. **Let the same be filed on or before NDOH and copy thereof be supplied to opposite party 10 days before NDOH.**

Considering the same, put up on **07.05.2025** for filing of reply to application for waiver of cost, arguments on the same as well as for arguments on appeal.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
27.03.2025 (mk)