

26.04.2025

Present : Sh. S. N. Khan, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
alongwith son of plaintiff / Sh. Naseem.
Defendants no. 1, 2 and 6 are ex-parte.
Sh. Rahul Kumar Sharma, Ld. counsel for
defendants no. 7 and 8 alongwith defendant no. 8.
Ms. Mala Kumari Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the
defendants no. 11 and 12 along with defendants no.
11 and 12.
None for other defendants.

Today, proposed issues are filed on behalf of
plaintiff and defendants no. 11 and 12.

Pleadings are complete. From the pleadings, the
following issues are framed:-

ISSUES:

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of possession in favour of the plaintiff and against the defendants no. 9, 11 and 12 in respect of two shops part of property bearing no. S-63, situated at South Anarkali, Village Khureji, Delhi-110051 as shown in red colour in the site plan ? OPP
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of damages / mesne profit of Rs. 30,000/- per month against defendants no. 9, 11 and 12 as prayed for in prayer clause (b)? OPP
3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of declaration in favour of the plaintiff against the defendant no. 5 to the effect

that defendant no. 6 was / is not having any right, title or interest in the suit property bearing no. S-63, measuring 125 sq. yds. situated in abadi of South Anarkali, Village Khureji, Delhi-w110051 as shown in red and yellow colour in the site plan as prayed for? OPP

4. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of declaration declaring sale deed dated 19.11.2014 as null and void as prayed for? OPP

5. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to get a decree against the defendant no. 7 and 8 to the effect that the defendants no. 7 and 8 was / is not having any right, title or interest in the suit property? OPP

6. Whether the defendants no. 7 and 8 having no right to execute the registered sale deed dated 21.06.2012 in respect of 50 square yards of the suit property in favour of defendant no. 2? OPP

7. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the registered sale deed dated 21.06.2012 executed by defendant no. 7 & 8 in favour of defendant no. 2 is null and void? OPP

8. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the registered sale deed dated 29.10.2013 executed by defendant no. 2 in favour of defendant no. 3 is null and void? OPP

9. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the registered sale deed dated 04.04.2015 executed by defendant no. 3 in favour of defendant no. 9 is null and void? OPP

10. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the registered relinquishment deed dated 26.04.2019 executed by three LRs of deceased defendant no. 9 in favour of one LRs namely Ms. Sandhya Bhati of deceased defendant no. 9 is null and void? OPP

11. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the sale deed dated 07.08.2019 executed in favour of Manoj Saini is null and void? OPP

12. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that the sale deed dated 16.12.2020 executed by Manoj Saini in favour of Defendant no. 11 and 12 is null and void? OPP

13. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a declaration that defendant no. 7 having no right to execute the registered sale deed dated 10.04.2015 in respect of portion 83 square yards part of the suit property in favour of defendant no. 4 and the same be declared as null and void? OPP

14. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to get a decree for mandatory injunction whereby defendant no. 10 may be directed to make demarcation of suit property? OPP

15. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of permanent injunction in favour of the plaintiff and against defendants no. 9,

CS No. 564/18

..4..

11 and 12, restraining them permanently from selling or creating any third party interest in the suit property as prayed for? OPP

16. Whether the suit of the plaintiff is bad for non-joinder and mis-joinder of parties? OPD 11 & 12

17. Whether the plaintiff has not properly valued the suit and the proper court fees has not been affixed on the same? OPD 11 & 12.

18. Relief.

No other issue arises or is pressed for. Nor onus of issues is objected to.

Put up for PE on **14.07.2025**.

List of witnesses be filed by the parties within 15 days. The plaintiff shall supply the copy of evidence by way of affidavit in advance to the other side two weeks prior to the NDOH.

(SOMITRA KUMAR)
DJ-06, EAST/ KKD COURTS
DELHI/26.04.2025 ^(R)