

IN THE COURT OF MRS. RAJNI CHHOKRA,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAST TRACK COURT,
EXCLUSIVELY TO DEAL WITH RAPE CASES, FEROZEPUR.
(UID No.PB-0168)

CIS No. BA/274 of 2022
CNR No. PBFZ0100-0628-2022
Date of order : 10.2.2022

Balveer Singh aged 42 years son of Jeet Singh, resident of village Rao
Ke Hithar, Khundar Uttar, Tehsil and District Ferozepur.

.... Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

Application for Bail under Section 438(i) of Cr.P.C.

...

FIR No.159 dated 6.9.2020

PS Guruharsahai.

Under Sections 302, 458,323,148,149 of IPC.

Present: Sh. R.S.Sidhu, Advocate Counsel for applicant/ accused.
Sh. Keemat Singh, Additional PP for the State

ORDER.

The present bail application on behalf of accused
presented before the Court of undersigned.

2. The present bail application under Section 438(i) Cr.P.C., has
been filed by the applicant/accused, through his counsel for grant him
anticipatory bail, in the above noted case.

3. It is further averred in the application that the accused/applicant
has been falsely implicated in this case.It is averred that from the
contents of the FIR, no offence is made out against the applicant. It is
averred that as per the allegations in the FIR, only simple injury is

allegedly attributed to the accused/applicant. Accused/applicant is truck driver by profession and he alongwith truck had gone to to her state at the time of alleged occurrence. It is further averred that police is raiding the house of applicant/accused in order to arrest him and as such he apprehend his arrest and detention at the hands of police. Hence, the present bail application with prayer to grant bail to applicant/accused.

4. Upon notice, learned Additional PP has appeared on behalf of the State-respondent and contested bail application. Police record was summoned and perused.

5. Learned counsel for applicant/accused has contended as per the lines as mentioned in the bail application. He further contended that custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required and the applicant/accused is ready to join investigation and will not misuse the concessions of the bail, if so granted by the Court. It was prayed that application be allowed.

6. On other hand, learned Additional PP for the State has argued that Serious allegations are levelled against the applicant/accused and prayed for dismissal of the present bail application.

7. This Court has heard counsel for the applicants/accused, Learned Additional PP for the State, learned counsels for complainant and have gone through the record on file.

8. Perusal of the record, the prosecution has levelled allegations that accused/applicant alongwith other co accused forcibly entered into the house of the complainant Resham Singh and caused death of Thakro Bibi in furtherance of common intention. Accused Jeet Singh has already been arrested, but accused/applicant Balveer Singh

Balveer Singh Versus State

has been absconded from the process of the law and it has been specifically mentioned in the challan presented against accused Jeet Singh in the court, so the accused/applicant is not entitled to the concession of discretionary relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the present bail application of the applicant is hereby dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced in the Open Court. Sd/-

Dated 10.2.2022

Satish Kumar,
Stenographer Grade I.
Direct Dictation.

(Rajni Chhokra)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Court,
Exclusively dealing with Rape Cases,
Ferozepur. UID No.PB-0168.