

State Vs. Swarn Singh @ Swarna. BA/274/2023
FIR No.214 dated 17.10.2023.
U/s 61/1/14 Excise Act.
PS Sultanpur Lodhi, Kapurthala.

Present:- Sh. BS Momi, Adv, counsel for accused/applicant
Swaran Singh @ Swarna.
Sh. Shilendar Gill, Ld, APP for the State.

This order of mine shall disposed of bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Swarn Singh @ Swarna.

In the application, it is stated that false case has been registered against accused/applicant. It has been further stated that accused/applicant did nothing in the present case so he be released on bail.

Notice of the bail application was given to Ld. APP, who did not file reply to the bail application, but, he verbally contested the same and stated that accused does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard Sh. BS Momi, Advocate, learned defence counsel, who argued that application be allowed as accused/applicant did nothing in the present case and be released on bail. On the other hand, Sh. Shilendar Gill, Ld. APP for the State has argued that accused does not deserve the concession of bail.

Heard and considered and gone through the file.

Perusal of the FIR and record produced by the concerned investigating officer reveals that the accused was found in possession of working still alongwith 180 liters of Lahan and 35 bottles of illicit liquor. Therefore, the recovery involved in the present case is heavy in nature. The allegations against the accused/applicant is serious in nature. Besides this, releasing the accused on bail at this sage will give bad signal to the

public at large. In view of the nature and gravity of offence, the court is of the opinion that he may not be given the benefit of bail. Applying these considerations and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the bail application stands declined. File be put up on date already fixed.

Pronounced in Open Court.

Dated:-26.10.2023

Nitish

Direct Typed

(Mehak Sabharwal),
Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate,
Sultanpur Lodhi.
(UID No.PB0385)